

Sent to District Judge, District Clerk.  
Still awaiting comments, corrections,  
suggestions, alternatives, etc,

www.DamnCourthouseCriminals.com  
www.OpenJustice.US

**DRAFT ONLY No. 00-00619 DRAFT ONLY**

THE LAW OFFICES OF	\$	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
G. DAVID WESTFALL, P.C.	\$	
Plaintiff	\$	
v.	\$	294th JUDICIAL DISTRICT
UDO BIRNBAUM	\$	
Defendant / Counter-Plaintiff	\$	VAN ZANDT COUNTY,
v.	\$	TEXAS
G. DAVID WESTFALL,	\$	
CHRISTINA WESTFALL	\$	
STEFANI PODVIN	\$	
Counter-Defendants	\$	

**Sec. 31.03. THEFT. (a) A person commits an offense if he unlawfully appropriates property with intent to deprive the owner of property.**

**June 18, 2020**

**We have no debtor prisons.**

**Petition for a Court of Inquiry**

Re: Judge Paul Banner and Judge Ron Chapman imposing HUGE not only unlawful **but unenforceable** Sanctions - and then **maliciously and unlawfully converting** same into **enforceable** judgments **by duping the Court and the District Clerk** – by, from the start – simply having included the phrase “this judgment rendered” – at the end of the ORDER.

**“by duping the District Clerk – by scheming the phrase “THIS JUDGMENT RENDERED” – at the end of what WAS NOT A JUDGMENT – but a mere and ARBITRARY ORDER !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!**

**background**

Texas Rules of Civil Procedure (trcp) Rule 301, states: “**The judgment** [note the singular] of the court shall conform to the pleadings, the nature of the case proved and the verdict, if any”. “**Only one final judgment** shall be rendered in any cause”.

**the issue**

This mess **has THREE judgments**, in the SAME cause, TWO by Judge Paul Banner, then yet ANOTHER, by Judge Ron Chapman – **FOUR** years later!

1. \$ 85,000 or so plus interest – Judge Paul Banner - “*This judgment rendered April 11, 2002, signed July 30, 2002*”
2. “\$62,885 plus interest – Judge Paul Banner – “*This judgment rendered July 30, 2002, signed August 9, 2002*”
3. \$125,770 (62,885 x 2) plus interest – Judge Ron Chapman – “*This judgment rendered April 1, 2004, signed October 6, 2006*”

**argument**

If only by each other’s presence, at a minimum TWO would have to be imposters.

And the second - for \$62,885 – cannot be “the judgment” – as having being first, or last.

And the last – for \$125,770 – cannot be “the judgment” – as having been first.

**Sec.31.01(4) THEFT. (4) "Appropriate" means: (A) to bring about a transfer or purported transfer of title to or other nonpossessory interest in property, whether to the actor or another;**

And the first – for \$85,000 or so – cannot, of course, be “The Final” – with TWO after.

*“If there is insanity around – well, some of us gotta have it”*

**short re the first judgment**

Judge Banner had a jury sitting there – but he did not use them. Regarding the second and third “judgment” – there was of course no jury.

**conclusion**

These “judgments” – are ALL – imposters. No need to confuse this simple fact – with more paper. Full details my DamnCourthouseCriminals.com, also my earlier OpenJustice.US.

Except to state – that there has been abstract of judgment to place liens, and writ of execution – on each – even “revival” – after 12 years – of the \$62,885 Order.

Audio of such “revival” – on my YouTube. Full title: “East Texas District Court – real gone BATSHIT CRAZY HEARING”. Simple “batshit crazy hearing” – as a PHRASE – will get you there – YouTube or simply Google.

**prayer**

**“by duping the District Clerk – by scheming the phrase ‘THIS JUDGMENT RENDERED’ – at the end of what WAS NOT A JUDGMENT – but a mere and ARBITRARY ORDER !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!**

Monsters. Hiding in plain sight – doing evil – in an institution we normally associate with doing good. Physician, heal thyself.

**epilogue**

And as for Texas Judge Paul Banner, and Judge Ron Chapman:

***When thee gets back to thy kennel tonight,  
I hope thy mother bites thee***

This, the 18th day of June, 2020.

---

UDO BIRNBAUM, Pro Se  
540 VZ County Road 2916  
Eustace, TX 75124  
903-479-3929  
BRNBM@AOL.COM

Sec. 31.03. THEFT. (a) A person commits an offense if he unlawfully appropriates property with intent to deprive the owner of property.

Sec.31.01(4) THEFT. (4) "Appropriate" means: (A) to bring about a transfer or purported transfer of title to or other nonpossessory interest in property, whether to the actor or another; or