

No. 00-00619

THE LAW OFFICES OF	\$	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
G. DAVID WESTFALL, P.C.	\$	
Plaintiff	\$	
v.	\$	294th JUDICIAL DISTRICT
UDO BIRNBAUM	\$	
Defendant / Counter-Plaintiff	\$	VAN ZANDT COUNTY,
v.	\$	TEXAS
G. DAVID WESTFALL,	\$	
CHRISTINA WESTFALL	\$	
STEFANI PODVIN	\$	
Counter-Defendants	\$	June 22, 2020

Petition for a Court of Inquiry

Re: Judge Paul Banner and Judge Ron Chapman imposing HUGE not only unlawful **but unenforceable** Sanctions - and then **maliciously and unlawfully converting** same into **enforceable** judgments by duping the Court and the District Clerk – by, from the start – simply having included the phrase “this judgment rendered” – at the end of the ORDER.

“by duping the District Clerk – by scheming the phrase “THIS JUDGMENT RENDERED” – at the end of what WAS NOT A JUDGMENT – but a mere and ARBITRARY ORDER !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Sec. 31.03. THEFT. (a) A person commits an offense if he unlawfully appropriates property with intent to deprive the owner of property.

Sec. 31.01(4) THEFT. “Appropriate” means: (A) to bring about the transfer or purported transfer of title to or other nonpossessory interest in property, whether to the actor or another; [for you non-lawyers: like judgments, liens. Generally such as easements etc]

background

Texas Rules of Civil Procedure (TRCP) Rule 301, states: “The judgment [note the singular] of the court shall conform to the pleadings, the nature of the case proved and the verdict, if any”. “Only one final judgment shall be rendered in any cause”.

the issue

This mess has THREE judgments, in the SAME cause, TWO by Judge Paul Banner, then yet ANOTHER, by Judge Ron Chapman – **FOUR** years later!

1. \$ 85,000 or so plus interest – Judge Paul Banner - ***“This judgment rendered April 11, 2002, signed July 30, 2002”***
2. “\$62,885 plus interest – Judge Paul Banner – ***“This judgment rendered July 30, 2002, signed August 9, 2002”***
3. \$125,770 (62,885 x 2) plus interest – Judge Ron Chapman – ***“This judgment rendered April 1, 2004, signed October 6, 2006 “***

argument

If only by each other's presence, at a minimum TWO would have to be imposters.
And the second - for \$62,885 - cannot be "the judgment" - as having being first, or last.
And the last - for \$125,770 - cannot be "the judgment" - as having been first.
And the first - for \$85,000 or so - cannot, of course, be "The Final" - with TWO after.

"If there is insanity around - well, some of us gotta have it"

short re the first judgment

Judge Banner had a jury sitting there - but he did not use them. Regarding the second and third "judgment" - there was of course no jury.

conclusion

These "judgments" - are ALL - imposters. No need to confuse this simple fact - with more paper. Full details my DamnCourthouseCriminals.com, also my earlier OpenJustice.US.

Except to state - that there has been abstract of judgment to place liens, and writ of execution - on each - even "revival" - after 12 years - of the \$62,885 Order - and with the "revival" - finagle its description into "sanction judgment".

Audio of such "revival" - on my YouTube. Full title: "East Texas District Court - real gone BATSHIT CRAZY HEARING". Simple "batshit crazy hearing" - as a PHRASE - will get you there - YouTube or simply Google.

prayer

"by duping the District Clerk - by scheming the phrase 'THIS JUDGMENT RENDERED' - at the end of what WAS NOT A JUDGMENT - but a mere and ARBITRARY ORDER !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!"

Monsters. Hiding in plain sight - doing evil - in an institution we normally associate with doing good. Physician, heal thyself.

epilogue

when 'thems' DO - as mobsters do - 'thems' ARE mobsters

***When thee gets back to thy kennel tonight,
I hope thy mother bites thee***

This, the 22nd day of June, 2020

UDO BIRNBAUM, Pro Se
540 VZ County Road 2916
Eustace, TX 75124
903-479-3929
BRNBM@AOL.COM

Petition for a Court of Inquiry
page 2 of 2

www.DamnCourthouseCriminals.com
Has FULL evidence of "mens rea" (evil intent).
Like the EXTREMES 'thems' went to - like actually make 'Findings' on their 'Order' - painting me as half a step above the devil - on the grand scale of evil.
Even REVIVING same fraudulent ORDER - twelve (12) years later - finagling name into "sanction judgment".
*
INSTRUCTIONS: Normal open website with browser.
Next do a text search for "findings". LOTS AND LOTS OF LINKS - more "stuff" on my www.OpenJustice.US